

A Record of Key Votes in the 85<sup>th</sup> Iowa General Assembly (2013-2014)

and the 113<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congress (2011-2013)



Compiled October 2014



# **Table of Contents**

| Introduction: Trends and Spotlight on Key Bills | 3  |
|---|----|
| About the Scorecard                             | 5  |
| Guide to Key Votes                              | 5  |
| Iowa Senate                                     | 7  |
| Iowa House of Representatives                   | 9  |
| U.S. Senate                                     | 12 |
| U.S. House of Representatives                   | 13 |
| Take Action! What You Can Do                    | 14 |
| About the ACLU of Iowa                          | 14 |



Inside the Iowa House chambers during debate

# Thank You

The ACLU of Iowa couldn't do the work that we do without the support of elected officials from both sides of the aisle who stand up for people's basic rights.

We thank our supporters and the citizen civil liberties advocates who called or e-mailed their legislators.

Your diligence has helped us make Iowa a better place.



# Introduction: Trends and Spotlight on Key Bills

The 85<sup>th</sup> General Assembly of Iowa includes the 2013 and 2014 legislative sessions. Bills passed during that time had a significant impact on civil liberties in our state. Iowa, like many other states, has had to grapple with finding the appropriate legislative response to rapid advancements in technology. From a civil liberties perspective, those advancements were sometimes for the better, and sometimes for the worse. For example, bills covered in this Report and Scorecard relate to teens' use of social media, police use of drones and GPS tracking, and doctors' use of telemedicine, to name a few.

One of the great challenges of advocating for civil liberties in the Iowa Legislature is that so many bills impact our basic rights. In fact, the ACLU of Iowa filed formal declarations of support or opposition on more than 200 bills in the 2014 legislative session



ACLU of Iowa board members Janet and Mark Rosenberg, pictured above, at an immigrants' rights rally at the capitol in the summer of 2013.

alone. We won't give you a rundown of all the bills the ACLU worked on, of course. Instead, we will focus on a handful that stand out.

We hope this Report and Scorecard helps Iowa voters hold their elected representatives accountable for their records on those bills that most impacted our core freedoms and rights.

# Protecting Student Free Speech (Blocking HF 2409/SF 2318)

For two years in a row, the ACLU of Iowa played a vital role in keeping an unconstitutional anti-bullying bill from becoming law. The bill would have given school officials the authority to monitor and discipline students for speech made outside of school hours, off school grounds, outside of the school's supervision, and in social media. Allowing school officials to monitor student communications 24/7 (even when under the care and



supervision of their parents, an employer, a summer camp, or a church group) raises troubling free speech and due process issues.

It's important to note that the ACLU of Iowa strongly supports efforts to address the serious problem of bullying in schools when those efforts comport with free speech and due process protections.

## Warrants for GPS Tracking (HF 475)

It took three legislative sessions, but in March 2014 we were successful in helping to pass an important amendment to a bill that sought to expand the types of law enforcement officers who may use GPS tracking technology to investigate crime. In the original bill, police would have been able to use an alternative legal process that failed to meet the constitutional requirements for a search warrant before using GPS tracking technology. Instead, the amendment we were able to help pass made the legislation consistent with existing Iowa warrant law, protecting Iowans' privacy and due process rights.

## Regulating Drones (HF 2289)

The ACLU of Iowa helped pass legislation banning the use of drones for traffic enforcement statewide and limiting the ability of prosecutors to use drone-derived evidence against a person in court proceedings unless it was obtained pursuant to a warrant.

The law should go further, and includes a problematic exception to the requirement that evidence be obtained with a warrant in order to be admissible in court. That exception has the potential to swallow the rule, and we'll be asking for further legislation in the coming session. We want to see a warrant required prior to all drone use by police unless an existing exception to the warrant requirement applies. We also want to see legislation to limit sharing and storage of drone-derived data. Finally, we want to see a ban on the use of weaponized drones by Iowa law enforcement.

But make no mistake: the legislation was an important step forward in regulating the use of drone technology by law enforcement.

## Big Step Forward to Decriminalize HIV (SF 2297)

After years of hard work by a broad coalition, the state took an important step forward in updating Iowa's harmful and discriminatory law criminalizing consensual sexual intercourse for people with HIV. The ACLU of Iowa was an important part of that coalition.

The compromise bill that passed is not perfect, and further important work remains to be done; but it was successful in getting HIV-positive people—who never should have been on the sex offender registry in the first place—removed from it. Importantly, the new law also makes clear that the criminal sanctions do not reach to those people with HIV who take practical means to prevent transmission or whose behavior does not pose a substantial risk of transmission.



# About the Scorecard

The votes selected for this Report and Scorecard represent only a handful of the hundreds of bills debated in the 85th General Assembly and the 113th U.S. Congress. The votes included here were selected because they represent distinct and important civil liberties issues. They do not include every issue or bill with civil liberties implications. Furthermore, numerous civil liberties issues are resolved in the legislative committee process; many amendments do not have record roll call votes, and bills with highly negative implications may die before they come up for a vote. In cases where more than one vote occurs on a particular bill, we have chosen the vote that we think presents the civil liberties issue most clearly.

Where a legislator was absent for a vote—but later filed an official explanation in the official House or Senate chamber journal of how they would have voted, were they present—we record the legislator's vote as absent. However, where a legislator indicates in the official journal that s/he accidentally voted not as s/he intended (literally by hitting the wrong button), we have credited her/him with the vote the legislator intended.

When a legislator was absent for a given vote, that vote is not taken into account in her/his civil liberties score.

Instead, the score is calculated based on the total number of the legislator's votes that are consistent with the ACLU of Iowa's position as a percentage of the votes for which that the legislator was present.

#### SYMBOLS USED IN VOTING RECORD

- + A vote in favor of the ACLU of Iowa's position.
- A vote contrary to the ACLU of Iowa's position.
- a Lawmaker did not vote or was absent.

# Guide to Key Votes



#### 1. PRIVACY: (HF 2289) Limits on Drones

- Limited the use of unmanned aerial vehicles ("drones") to gather evidence without a warrant, and prohibited the use of drones for traffic enforcement.
- Passed Senate 48-1 on April 23, 2014; Passed House 92-2 on April 25, 2014; Signed by Governor Branstad on May 23, 2014.
- ACLU of Iowa SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.



#### 2. CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM: (SF 2190) Shackling of Pregnant Inmates

- Would have required correctional facilities to restrict the use of restraints on pregnant, laboring, and post-partum inmates or detainees.
- Passed Senate 49-0 on February 24, 2014; No House vote.
- ACLU of Iowa SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.



#### 3. PRIVACY & CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM: (SF 2297) HIV Decriminalization

- Made major reforms to Iowa's statute criminalizing HIV exposure and transmission.
- Passed Senate 48-0 on February 27, 2014; Passed House 98-0 on April 30, 2014; Signed by Governor Branstad on May 30, 2014.
- ACLU of Iowa SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.

Nick Rhoades, pictured above, did time in an Iowa jail for not disclosing his HIV-positive status to a sexual partner, even though he wore a condom and no transmission occurred.





#### 4. FREE SPEECH & EXPRESSION (SF 2318) Cyber-bullying

- Would have given schools authority to punish students for speech made outside of school.
- Passed Senate 26-19 on March 18, 2014; Amended and Passed House 68-27 on April 25, 2014; No Subsequent Senate Vote on House Bill.
- ACLU of Iowa OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.



#### 5. PRIVACY & MEDICAL FREEDOM (SF 2360) Medical Marijuana

- Allowed intractable epilepsy patients and their caregivers to possess medical cannabis oil.
- Passed House 77-20 on April 30, 2014; Passed Senate 38-8 on May 1, 2014; Signed by Governor Branstad on May 30, 2014.
- ACLU of Iowa SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.

Mom and advocate Maria La France with her son, Quincy, pictured left.



#### 6. DUE PROCESS & GENETIC PRIVACY (HF 527) Mandatory DNA Sampling

- Required certain aggravated misdemeanants to submit a DNA sample upon conviction.
- Passed House 79-18 on March 25, 2013; Passed Senate 29-20 on May 1, 2013; Signed by Governor Branstad on May 15, 2013.
- ACLU of Iowa OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.



#### 7. DUE PROCESS & PRIVACY (HF 2174) Strip Searches by Police

- Would have allowed strip searches of persons arrested for simple misdemeanors or scheduled violations.
- Passed House 94-5 on March 5, 2014; No Senate Vote.
- ACLU of Iowa OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.



#### 8. REPRODUCTIVE FREEDOM (HF 2175) Telemedicine Abortion Ban

- Would have prohibited doctors' use of telecommunications technology to administer abortion medication to women in remote locations, primarily in rural Iowa.
- Passed House 55-42 on February 11, 2014; No Senate Vote.
- ACLU of Iowa OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.



#### 9. FREE SPEECH AND EXPRESSION (HF 359) Live Nude Performances

- Would have expanded the authority of a city or county to regulate live nude theater.
- Passed House 90-10 on March 11, 2013; No Senate vote.
- ACLU of Iowa OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.

Critically acclaimed theater productions such as "Hair," pictured at left, include nudity.



#### 10. DUE PROCESS & PRIVACY (HF 475) GPS Tracking

- •Allowed police to place, monitor, and remove GPS devices. In the 2014 session, the ACLU successfully fought for an amendment to require police to obtain a warrant first.
- Passed House 76-23 on March 12, 2013; Amendment S-5062 adopted March 18, 2014; Passed Senate 46-0 on March 18, 2014; Passed House 76-20 on March 26, 2014; Signed by Governor Branstad on April 10, 2014.
- Only the House vote on the 2013 bill allowing searches without a warrant is scored. **A vote AGAINST is a +.**



# Iowa Senate: Key Votes

- 1. Limits on Drones (HF 2289)
- 2. Shackling of Pregnant Inmates (SF 2190)
- 3. HIV Decriminalization (SF 2297)

- 4. Cyber-bullying (SF 2318)
- 5. Medical Marijuana (SF 2360)
- 6. DNA Submission for Misdemeanors (HF 527)

| NAME             | PARTY  | COUNTY                   | SCORE       | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4      | 5 | 6      |
|------------------|--------|--------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|--------|---|--------|
| ANDERSON         | R      | Woodbury                 | 83%         | + | + | + | +      | - | +      |
| BEALL            | D      | Webster                  | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| BEHN             | R      | Boone                    | 67%         | + | + | + | +      | _ | _      |
| BERTRAND         | R      | Woodbury                 | 100%        |   |   |   |        | _ | _      |
| BLACK            | D      |                          | 67%         | + | + | + | а      | + | +      |
|                  |        | Jasper                   |             | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| BOETTGER         | R      | Shelby                   | 67%         | + | + | + | +      | - | -      |
| BOLKCOM          | D      | Johnson                  | 83%         | + | + | + | -      | + | +      |
| BOWMAN           | D      | Jackson                  | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| BRASE            | D      | Muscatine                | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| BREITBACH        | R      | Clayton                  | 83%         | + | + | + | +      | + | _      |
| CHAPMAN          | R      | Dallas                   | 83%         |   |   |   |        |   | _      |
| CHELGREN         | R      | Wapello                  | 100%        | + | + | + | +      | + |        |
|                  |        | ·                        |             | + | + | + | +      | а | +      |
| COURTNEY         | D      | Des Moines               | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| DANIELSON        | D      | Black Hawk               | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| DEARDEN          | D      | Polk                     | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| DIX              | R      | Butler                   | 100%        | + | + | + | +      | + | +      |
| DOTZLER          | D      | Black Hawk               | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| DVORSKY          | D      | Johnson                  | 83%         | + | + | + | -      | + | +      |
| ERNST            | R      | Montgomery               | 50%         | + | а | а | а      | а | -      |
| FEENSTRA         | R      | Sioux                    | 83%         | + | + | + | +      | - | +      |
| GARRETT**        | R      | Warren                   | 80%         | + | + | + | +      | - | a*     |
| GREINER          | R      | Washington               | 80%         | + | + | + | а      | - | +      |
| GRONSTAL         | D      | Pottawattamie            | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| GUTH             | R      | Hancock                  | 100%        | + | + | + | +      | а | +      |
| HART             | D      | Clinton                  | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| HATCH            | D<br>D | Polk<br>Linn             | 67%<br>67%  | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
|                  |        |                          |             | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| HORN             | D      | Linn                     | 83%         | + | + | + | -      | + | +      |
| HOUSER<br>JOCHUM | R<br>D | Pottawattamie<br>Dubuque | 100%<br>67% | + | + | a | а<br>- | а | +      |
| JOCHUM           | R      | Osceola                  | 100%        | + | + | + | -<br>_ | + | +      |
| KAPUCIAN         | R      | Benton                   | 83%         | + | + | + | +      | + | -<br>- |
| MATHIS           | D      | Linn                     | 83%         | + | + | + | -      | + | +      |
| MCCOY            | D      | Polk                     | 83%         | + | + | + | -      | + | +      |
| PETERSEN         | D      | Polk                     | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| QUIRMBACH        | D      | Story                    | 83%         | + | + | + | -      | + | +      |
| RAGAN            | D      | Cerro Gordo              | 67%         | + | + | + | -      | + | -      |
| ROZENBOOM        | R      | Mahaska                  | 100%        | + | + | + | +      | + | +      |



| NAME       | PARTY | COUNTY   | SCORE | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
|------------|-------|----------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| SCHNEIDER  | R     | Dallas   | 83%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  |
| SCHOENJAHN | D     | Fayette  | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  |
| SEGEBART   | R     | Crawford | 100%  | а  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| SENG       | D     | Scott    | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  |
| SINCLAIR   | R     | Wayne    | 100%  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| SMITH      | R     | Scott    | 83%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  |
| SODDERS    | D     | Marshall | 50%   | -  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  |
| SORENSON*  | R     | Warren   | N/A   | a* | a* | a* | a* | a* | a* |
| TAYLOR     | D     | Henry    | 83%   | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  |
| WHITVER    | R     | Polk     | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  |
| WILHELM    | D     | Howard   | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  |
| ZAUN       | R     | Polk     | 83%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  |
| ZUMBACH    | R     | Delaware | 80%   | +  | +  | +  | а  | +  | -  |

<sup>\*</sup> Senator Sorenson resigned after the 2013 legislative session and was replaced in a special election by Senator Garrett.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Senator Garrett served in the Iowa Senate only during the 2014 legislative session after winning a special election in 2013 to replace Senator Sorenson.



# Iowa House of Representatives: Key Votes

- 1. Limits on Drones (HF 2289)
- 3. HIV Decriminalization (SF 2297)
- 4. Cyber-bullying (SF 2318)
- 5. Medical Marijuana (SF 2360)
- 6. DNA Submission for Misdemeanors (HF 527)
- 7. Strip Searches (HF 2174)
- 8. Telemedicine Abortion Ban (HF 2175)
- 9. Live Nude Theater (HF 359)
- 10. GPS Tracking (HF 475)

| NAME        | PARTY | COUNTY        | SCORE | 1  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 |
|-------------|-------|---------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| ABDUL-SAMAD | D     | Polk          | 89%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| ALONS       | R     | Sioux         | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| ANDERSON    | D     | Polk          | 88%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | а  | +  | +  | +  | -  |
| BACON       | R     | Story         | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| BALTIMORE   | R     | Boone         | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| BAUDLER     | R     | Adair         | 29%   | а  | +  | а  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| BEARINGER   | D     | Fayette       | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| BERRY       | D     | Black Hawk    | 57%   | +  | а  | +  | а  | -  | -  | +  | -  | +  |
| BRANDENBURG | R     | Pottawattamie | 11%   | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| BYRNES      | R     | Mitchell      | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| соноом      | D     | Des Moines    | 56%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | +  |
| COSTELLO    | R     | Mills         | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| COWNIE      | R     | Polk          | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| DAWSON      | D     | Woodbury      | 20%   | а  | а  | а  | а  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| DEYOE       | R     | Story         | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| DOLECHECK   | R     | Ringgold      | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| DRAKE       | R     | Cass          | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| DUNKEL      | D     | Dubuque       | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| FISHER      | R     | Tama          | 22%   | -  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| FORBES      | D     | Polk          | 43%   | а  | +  | а  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| FORRISTALL  | R     | Pottawattamie | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| FRY         | R     | Clarke        | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| GAINES      | D     | Polk          | 75%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | а  | +  | +  |
| GARRETT**** | R     | Warren        | 0%    | a* | a* | a* | a* | -  | a* | a* | -  | -  |
| GASKILL     | D     | Wapello       | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| GASSMAN     | R     | Winnebago     | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| GRASSLEY    | R     | Butler        | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| GUSTAFSON*  | R     | Warren        | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | a* | -  | -  | a* | a* |
| HAGENOW     | R     | Polk          | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HALL        | D     | Woodbury      | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| HANSON      | D     | Jefferson     | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| HANUSA      | R     | Pottawattamie | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HEARTSILL   | R     | Marion        | 56%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HEATON      | R     | Henry         | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HEDDENS     | D     | Story         | 63%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | а  |
| HEIN        | R     | Jones         | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HESS        | R     | Clay          | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HIGHFILL    | R     | Polk          | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| HUNTER      | D     | Polk          | 89%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  | +  |
| HUSEMAN     | R     | Cherokee      | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |



|                   |        |             |       | 1  | 2  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 |
|-------------------|--------|-------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| NAME              | PARTY  | COUNTY      | SCORE | 1  | 3  | 4  |    |    | 7  |    |    |    |
| ISENHART          | D      | Dubuque     | 67%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | +  |
| JACOBY            | D      | Johnson     | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| JORGENSEN         | R      | Woodbury    | 29%   | а  | +  | а  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| KAJTAZOVIC        | D      | Black Hawk  | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| KAUFMANN          | R      | Cedar       | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| KEARNS            | D      | Lee         | 63%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  | -  | а  | -  | +  |
| KELLEY            | D      | Jasper      | 67%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | +  | +  | +  |
| KLEIN             | R      | Washington  | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| KOESTER           | R      | Polk        | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| KRESSIG           | D      | Black Hawk  | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| LANDON            | R      | Polk        | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| LENSING           | D      | Johnson     | 78%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | +  |
| LOFGREN           | R      | Muscatine   | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| LUNDBY            | D      | Linn        | 67%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | +  |
| LYKAM             | D      | Scott       | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| MASCHER           | D      | Johnson     | 100%  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| MAXWELL           | R      | Poweshiek   | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| MCCARTHY***       | D      | Polk        | 100%  | a* | a* | а* | а* | +  | a* | a* | +  | +  |
| MEYER**           | D      | Polk        | 67%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | a* | -  | +  | a* | а* |
| MILLER, H.        | D      | Webster     | 57%   | a  | +  | а  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| MILLER, L.        | R      | Scott       | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | _  | -  | -  | _  | -  | -  |
| MOORE             | R      | Jackson     | 25%   | +  | +  | -  | а  | -  | _  | _  | _  | _  |
| MUHLBAUER         | D      | Crawford    | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | _  | _  | _  | _  |
| MURPHY            | D      | Dubuque     | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | _  | _  | +  | _  | +  |
| OLDSON            | D      | Polk        | 75%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | а  | _  | +  | _  | +  |
| OLSON, R.         | D      | Polk        | 56%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | _  | +  | _  | _  |
| OLSON, S.         | R      | Clinton     | 33%   | +  | +  | _  | +  | -  | _  |    | _  | _  |
| OLSON, T.         | D      | Linn        | 56%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | _  | _  | +  | _  | _  |
| OURTH             | D      | Warren      | 56%   | +  |    | -  | +  | _  | _  | +  | _  | +  |
| PAULSEN           | R      | Linn        | 33%   |    | +  | _  |    | _  | _  | _  | _  | _  |
|                   | R      |             |       | +  | +  |    | +  |    |    |    |    |    |
| PETTENGILL        |        | Benton      | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| PRICHARD          | D      | Floyd       | 50%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | а  | +  | -  | -  |
| RAYHONS           | R<br>- | Hancock     | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| RIDING            | D      | Polk        | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| ROGERS            | R      | Black Hawk  | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| RUFF              | D      | Clayton     | 44%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| RUNNING-MARQUARDT | D      | Linn        | 67%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +  |
| SALMON            | R      | Black Hawk  | 44%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| SANDS             | R      | Louisa      | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| SCHULTZ           | R      | Crawford    | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| SHAW              | R      | Pocahontas  | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| SHEETS            | R      | Appanoose   | 56%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  |
| SMITH, J.         | R      | Dickinson   | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| SMITH, M.         | D      | Marshall    | 56%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| SODERBERG         | R      | Plymouth    | 22%   | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| STAED             | D      | Linn        | 63%   | а  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | +  | -  |
| STANERSON         | R      | Linn        | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| STECKMAN          | D      | Cerro Gordo | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | +  |
| STUTSMAN          | D      | Johnson     | 67%   | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | ÷  |
| TAYLOR, R.        | R      | Dallas      | 33%   | +  | +  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | _  | _  |



| NAME              | PARTY | COUNTY      | SCORE | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| TAYLOR, T.        | D     | Linn        | 78%   | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | +  |
| THEDE             | D     | Scott       | 56%   | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | +  |
| THOMAS            | D     | Clayton     | 44%   | + | + | - | + | - | - | + | - | -  |
| UPMEYER           | R     | Cerro Gordo | 33%   | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | -  |
| VANDER LINDEN     | R     | Mahaska     | 44%   | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | -  |
| WATTS             | R     | Dallas      | 33%   | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | -  |
| WESSEL-KROESCHELL | D     | Story       | 100%  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | +  |
| WINCKLER          | D     | Scott       | 78%   | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | +  |
| WINDSCHITL        | R     | Harrison    | 33%   | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | -  |
| WOLFE             | D     | Clinton     | 75%   | + | + | + | + | + | - | а | - | +  |
| WOOD              | D     | Scott       | 50%   | + | + | - | + | а | - | + | - | -  |
| WORTHAN           | R     | Buena Vista | 22%   | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | -  |

<sup>\*</sup> Representative Gustafson served in the Iowa House only during the 2014 session after winning a special election to replace Representative Garrett.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Representative Meyer served in the Iowa House only during the 2014 session after winning a special election to replace Representative McCarthy.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Representative McCarthy resigned after the 2013 legislative session and was replaced in a special election by Representative Meyer.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Representative Garrett resigned after the 2013 legislative session and was replaced in a special election by Representative Gustafson.



# United States Senate: Key Votes

For a full description of bills, visit https://www.aclu.org/key-issues and follow the "Legislative Scorecard" link.

- 1. Concurrent Resolution to Defund Planned Parenthood
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 2. Senate Vote to Pass the PATRIOT Sunsets Extension Act of 2011
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 3. 'Net Neutrality' Disapproval
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 4. Motion to Table Blunt Amendment to Create Loophole for Insurance Plans Based on Religious/Moral Objection
  - ACLU OPPOSED the Blunt Amendment. A vote FOR the MOTION TO TABLE is a +.
- 5. The Paycheck Fairness Act
  - ACLU SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.

| NAME     | PARTY | STATE | SCORE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|
| GRASSLEY | R     | lowa  | 0%    | - | - | - | - | - |
| HARKIN   | D     | lowa  | 100%  | + | + | + | + | + |



## United States House: Key Votes

For a full description of bills, visit https://www.aclu.org/key-issues and follow the "Legislative Scorecard" link.

- 1. PATRIOT Act Extension-House Vote #1
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 2. PATRIOT Act Extension-House Vote #2
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 3. House Vote to Pass the FISA Sunsets Extension Act of 2011
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 4. Amendment to Limit Suspicionless Patriot Act Searches
  - ACLU SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.
- 5. Amendment to Defund Planned Parenthood
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 6. Federally-Funded Private and Religious School Vouchers
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 7. Concurrent Resolution to Defund Planned
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 8. No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 9. House Vote to Pass the PATRIOT Sunsets Extension Act of 2011
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.

- 10. Pro-DOMA Amendment to FY 2012 Defense Appropriations
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 11. Protect Life Act
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 12. House Vote to Pass the Cyber Intelligence Sharing and Protection Act
  - ACLU SUPPORTED. A vote FOR is a +.
- 13. Amendment to Stop Using DOJ Resources on Certain Federal Marijuana Investigations in Medical Marijuana States
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 14. Black of Tennessee Amendment to House CJS FY13 Appropriations Bill
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 15. Prenatal Non-discrimination Act (PRENDA) of 2012
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a +.
- 16. Pro-DOMA Amendment to FY 2013 Defense Appropriations
  - ACLU OPPOSED. A vote AGAINST is a

| NAME     | PARTY | DISTRICT | SCORE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|----------|-------|----------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| BOSWELL  | D     | lowa 3rd | 63%   | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +  |
| BRALEY   | D     | lowa 1st | 94%   | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | +  | +  | +  | -  | +  | +  | +  |
| KING     | R     | lowa 5th | 0%    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| LATHAM   | R     | lowa 4th | 0%    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| LOEBSACK | D     | lowa 2nd | 100%  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |

## Take Action!

### What You Can Do

• Contact a legislator. If you have concerns or questions about a legislator's vote on an issue, or want to thank them for their voting record on civil liberties, contact that legislator.

Important: Please send us an email to legislative.program@aclu-ia.org and report which legislator you spoke with and how the conversation went.



- Write a letter to the editor. Elected officials pay attention to constituent concerns.
- Stay updated on civil liberties issues in Iowa. Sign up for our informative emails on civil liberties and legislative issues by emailing *editor@aclu-ia.org*.
- Join the conversation on Facebook. Find us at "American Civil Liberties Union of Iowa."
- **Support civil liberties advocacy work in Iowa.** Become a member or make a donation by calling 515-243-3576 or going to *www.aclu-ia.org*.
- For more information: contact us at editor@aclu-ia.org or 515-243-3576.

# About the ACLU of Iowa

Founded in 1935, the Americal Civil Liberties Union of Iowa (until 2005, known as the Iowa Civil Liberties Union) is the nation's fifth-oldest state affiliate of the national American Civil Liberties Union. The ACLU of Iowa is a non-profit membership organization that promotes and defends the values reflected in the Bill of Rights through public advocacy and outreach, lobbying, and litigation.

The ACLU of Iowa is a non-partisan organization that does not endorse or oppose candidates and does not contribute money to political campaigns. Rather, it relies solely upon the force of its moral arguments and the voices of its members to promote civil liberties in the state and federal legislatures.

As a private organization, the ACLU of Iowa is funded entirely with membership dues and donations – it receives no government funding.



American Civil Liberties Union of Iowa 505 5th Ave, Suite 901 Des Moines, IA 50309 515-243-3576 legislative.program@aclu-ia.org